In re: DeYoung, et al.

Serial No.: To Be Assigned Filed: September 10, 2003

Page 2

## Remarks

This is in response to the requirement for restriction set forth in the Official Action of July 23, 2004.

Applicant hereby elects the claims of Group II, claims 20-28, for substantive examination.

The Restriction Requirement with respect to Group I, claims 1-19, is respectfully traversed. It is respectfully submitted that claims to a method of use set forward in claims 1-19 would be searched with the composition claims 20-28, and if claims 20-28 are allowable then claims 1-19 should be allowable for essentially the same reasons. Accordingly, reconsideration of the Restriction Requirement is respectfully requested.

The Restriction Requirement does not address claims 29-36, but it is submitted that these claims should be examined with the claims of groups I and II for essentially the same reasons as set forth above.

It is submitted that this application is in condition for substantive examination, which action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Kenneth D. Sibley

Registration No. 31,6

**USPTO Customer No. 20792** 

Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A.

Post Office Box 37428

Raleigh, North Carolina 27627 Telephone: (919) 854-1400

Facsimile: (919) 854-1401